

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,918	10/27/2000	Patrick M. Lavelle	8002A-29	8367	
759	90 06/20/2003				
Frank Chau Esq			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
F CHAU & ASSOCIATES LLP 1900 Hempstead Turnpike			ANYASO, UC	ANYASO, UCHENDU O	
Suite 501 East Meadow, N	JY 11554		. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,		•	2675	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/698,918	LAVELLE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Uchendu O Anyaso	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIST Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in all the properties of the section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension
2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).	-	ction, even if
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11 and 28.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper (%)(s)		
10. Other:	1/1	-//1	
	STEVEN SAF	RAS	
	SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CEN	T EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/698,918



Continitation of 2. NOTE: Applicant canceled claim 28, and incorporated the features of the canceled claim 28 into claim 1. However, this amendment does not place claim 1 in condition for allowance because Adams teaches two input sources by teaching video data input and audio data input (see figure 4 at video data and audio data wherein these signals are provided to multiple headphones such as the corded headphones and the IR cordless headphones (see figure 3 at 32). Furthermore, Applicant amended independent claims 25 and 26 to include the features of "... at least two wireless transmitters operatively coupled to the bus, wherein a first wireless transmitter is adapted to wirelessly transmit the signals from the one of the two input sources to a first wireless headphone set, wherein a second wireless transmitter is adapted to wirelessly transmit the signals from the other of the two input sources to a second wireless headphone set, ..." These additional features to the claims presents a burden to the Examiner because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search to determine the patentability of these claims.